UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,922	01/07/2004	Charles Whiteman	HOLI 0127 PUS	5773
27256 APT7 & APT	7590 05/25/2007	· .	EXAMINER	
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH RD.			SALIARD, SHANNON S	
SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD	O MI 48034		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
00011111222	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		3628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/752,922	WHITEMAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shannon S. Saliard	3628			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to trill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed on the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 January 2004</u> .					
2a)∐ 1	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
C	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims	·				
5)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
Applicatio	n Papers					
10)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accesspecified any objection to the example access that any objection to the example access that any objection to the example access that any objection to the example access to	epted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) <u> </u>	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I				

Application/Control Number: 10/752,922 Page 2

Art Unit: 3628

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-15, drawn to a system for travel arrangements for a plurality of consumers, classified in class 705, subclass 6.
  - II. Claims 16-20, drawn to a method of negotiating a group travel discount based on a SCUBA related activity, classified in class 705, subclass 5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I negotiates a discount rate for group travel. The subcombination has separate utility such as using SCUBA related activities to realize additional cost saving when receiving a group discount.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if

Art Unit: 3628

any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shannon S. Saliard whose telephone number is 571-272-5587. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,922 Page 4

Art Unit: 3628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shannon S Saliard Examiner Art Unit 3628

SSS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER